

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,718	01/30/2002	Frederick A. Vero	1803-12	8196
7	590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN LEZDEY			LINDSEY, RODNEY M	
JOHN LEZDE	Y & ASSOC.			
4625 EAST BAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 302			3765	
CLEARWATER, FL 33764			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/060,718	VERO ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney M. Lindsey	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		,			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:		Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3765	\mathcal{L}			
		AIL OIIIL 3700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reference to Andrews et al. is not seen to be restricted to warp knit fabrics as stated in the declaration of David M. Hall but is seen as capable of being warp or weft knit depending on the conventional knitting machine used. Further, the declaration fails to speak to Weil and specifically Weil's use of chain stitching.